



MEMORANDUM

DATE	February 2, 2018
TO	Members Veterinary Medical Board
FROM	Tara Welch, Attorney III Legal Affairs Division, Department of Consumer Affairs
SUBJECT	Corporate Practice of Veterinary Medicine

Questions Presented

Can a general corporation own or operate a veterinary medical practice or influence the standards of veterinary medicine practice?

Short Answers

Current statutory and regulatory law does not explicitly prohibit general corporate ownership or operation of a veterinary medical practice or influence over the standards of veterinary medicine practice.

Discussion

In recent years, there has been a trend toward large corporations purchasing smaller veterinary practices. These mergers may be beneficial to consumers, who can continue to receive veterinary services for their pets rather than having to find a new veterinary practice if the small veterinary practice otherwise closed, but these mergers raise potential concerns as to whether these corporations are influencing the veterinary care provided by veterinarians and whether California consumers have any protection from the commercialization of veterinary practice.

This memorandum reviews the state laws affecting the corporate practice of medicine, corporate ownership of a veterinary premises, and corporate ownership and operation of a veterinary practice. This memorandum also discusses contractual arrangements for management services of a veterinary practice and the potential implications on consumer protection. This memorandum also provides possible recommendations for the Veterinary Medical Board (Board) to consider submitting to the Legislature in order to address the issues raised herein.

A. Background on the Corporate Practice of Medicine and Professional Corporations

A corporation is a legal entity created by statute, which permits a group of people, as shareholders, to apply to the government (the California Secretary of State) for an independent organization to be created. A corporation is empowered with legal rights usually only reserved for individuals, such as to

sue and be sued, to own property, hire employees, or borrow and loan money. Benefits to individuals organizing as a corporation include immunity from individual liability and reductions in taxes applicable to the income received by the organizing individuals.

According to the California Research Bureau, “[b]etween 1905 and 1917, courts in several states ruled that corporations could not engage in the commercial practice of medicine, even if they employed licensed physicians, because a corporation could not be licensed to practice medicine and commercialism in medicine was contrary to sound public policy.” (A. Kim, California Research Bureau, *The Corporate Practice of Medicine Doctrine* (Oct. 2007), CRB 07-011, p. 12.) These courts established the common-law corporate practice of medicine doctrine, which bans the corporate practice of medicine.

As the corporate practice of medicine doctrine developed under common law, in the 1930s, several statutes were enacted in California’s Medical Practice Act to prohibit unlicensed persons from practicing medicine, employment of unlicensed physicians, and interference with a physician’s medical judgment (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 2052, 2264, 2401). These statutes protect patients from a treating physician with divided loyalties between independent medical judgment and meeting the demands of a lay person or entity (corporate owner).

In 1968, the Moscone-Knox Professional Corporation Act (Moscone-Knox) (Corp. Code, § 13400 et seq.) established the ability of individuals who are professionally licensed to organize as a professional corporation. Moscone-Knox defines “professional corporation” to mean a corporation organized under the General Corporation Law that is engaged in rendering professional services in a single profession pursuant to a certificate or registration issued by the governmental agency regulating the profession and designates itself as a professional or other corporation as required by statute, and “professional services” means any type of professional services that may be lawfully rendered only pursuant to a license, certification, or registration authorized by the Business and Professions Code, the Chiropractic Act, or the Osteopathic Act.” (Corp. Code, § 13401(a), (b).)

Following the enactment of Moscone-Knox, the California Attorney General issued an opinion that further clarified California’s corporate practice of medicine doctrine and stated that a corporation is a creature created by statute, and, aside from Moscone-Knox and nonprofit corporation provisions, the Corporations Code does not provide specific authority for a corporation to practice the healing arts. (58 Ops. Cal. Atty. Gen. 755, 758 (1975).) That opinion also stated that “[e]xcept as otherwise specifically provided by statute, it is well settled that neither a corporation nor any other unlicensed person or entity may engage, directly or indirectly, in the practice of certain learned professions, including the legal, medical, and dental professions.” (*Id.*)

In 1980, the Medical Practice Act was repealed, revised, and recast. At that time, the Medical Practice Act included that lay entities (e.g., general corporations) have no professional rights, privileges, or powers to practice medicine (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2400), but professional medical corporations in compliance with Moscone-Knox were exempt from this restriction (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2402).

With respect to the corporate practice of veterinary medicine, the Veterinary Medicine Practice Act similarly prohibits the unlicensed practice of veterinary medicine and the aiding and abetting of the unlicensed practice of veterinary medicine, and provides that a veterinary corporation is a corporation which is authorized to render professional services, as defined, so long as that corporation and its shareholders, officers, directors, and employees rendering professional services who are licensed veterinarians are in compliance with the Moscone-Knox (Bus. & Prof. Code, §§ 4825, 4883(j), 4910). Unlike the Medicine Practice Act, the Veterinary Medicine Practice Act does not provide that lay entities

have no professional rights, privileges, or powers to practice veterinary medicine, and there is no explicit ban on interfering with a veterinarian's medical judgment.

B. General Corporate Practice Ban Problem

Although the Medical Practice Act provides limitations on the corporate practice of medicine ("corporations and other artificial legal entities shall have no professional rights privileges, or powers" (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2400)), most of the other healing arts practice acts do not contain this prohibition. Rather, numerous healing arts practice acts only provide that a professional corporation is authorized to render professional services as long as the shareholders, officers, directors, and employees rendering professional services are licensed and in compliance with the Moscone-Knox (e.g., Veterinary Medicine Practice Act, Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4910; Physical Therapy Practice Act, Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2690). Even though common law bans the corporate practice of medicine, the statutory language authorizing formation of a professional corporation may be interpreted as permissive (i.e., licensees *can* organize as a professional corporation) rather than restrictive (the *only way* to organize as a corporation and provide health care services is to organize as a professional corporation). The statutes are otherwise silent as to whether corporations that do not comply with Moscone-Knox may practice.

Consequently, these boards and their licensees are left to interpret a patchwork of statutes in their respective practice acts, Moscone-Knox, general corporation law, and the Medical Practice Act to determine whether corporate practice of the profession is prohibited. Without statutory language that clearly bans corporations from practicing a health care profession requiring licensure or rendering health care services, healing arts boards struggle with enforcing the corporate practice ban intended to protect consumers from commercial motives of the corporation being asserted over a healing arts licensee's professional judgment.

C. Specific Corporate Practice Ban Problem of Veterinary Medicine

Veterinary medicine, a healing art under the Business and Professions Code, has two problems relative to the corporate practice ban that are unique to veterinary medicine and not applicable to most other healing arts. First, the Medical Practice Act and its ban on the corporate provision of medical services does not apply to the provision of animal health care services. Thus, while some healing arts boards are authorized through their respective practice acts to enforce violations of the Medical Practice Act and could potentially refer to the Medical Practice Act's corporate practice ban statute, the Board is not authorized to rely on that statute. Rather, the Board's authority is limited to the Veterinary Medicine Practice Act statute authorizing licensees to organize as professional corporations pursuant to Moscone-Knox. (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4910.) But again, that arguably permissive professional corporation language does not specifically ban the practice of the licensed profession or rendering of veterinary services by a general corporation owned by non-licensed individuals.

Second, the Veterinary Medicine Practice Act is unique in that it licenses veterinarians who practice veterinary medicine, registers the veterinary premises, and authorizes professional corporations to render veterinary services. Notably, the Veterinary Medicine Practice Act does not specifically define whether a veterinary premises means the property at which a veterinary practice provides services and does not define a veterinary practice as the business that offers veterinary medical services. This has led to the terms "premises" and "practice" to be used interchangeably, even though they are conceptually very different. The Veterinary Medicine Practice Act requires that a premises be registered but does not require the premises owner to be a licensed veterinarian. Further, the Act is silent as to requiring that a veterinary practice be registered or owned by a licensee.

The lack of definition of the veterinary practice has led to a trend where general corporations are purchasing and operating not only veterinary premises, but also the veterinary practices located at the premises. General corporate ownership of veterinary practices raises potential concerns for consumers in that corporations are in a position to dictate the standards of care provided by the veterinarians employed by the corporation. This situation is analogous to a medical clinic that is owned and operated by unlicensed individuals and where the licensed professionals are employed to render health care services. Under the Medical Practice Act, clinic owners/operators are prohibited from interfering with, controlling, or otherwise directing the professional judgment of a physician and surgeon (Bus. & Prof. Code, § 2401(b)). Conversely, there is no specific statutory prohibition on unlicensed shareholders/owners/operators of either a veterinary premises or practice interfering with the professional judgment of a veterinarian.

Accordingly, national corporations are purchasing veterinary premises, registering the premises in the corporate name, operating the veterinary practices housed at the premises, employing veterinarians as Licensee Managers of the premises, as well as general practitioners, and, ultimately, practicing the licensed profession of veterinary medicine. Such corporations have unlicensed officers who also manage the payroll department and negotiate employment agreements entered into between the general corporation and veterinarians and veterinary staff working at each premises. The employment agreements contain net revenue percentage incentives to sell the corporation's animal care products, including vaccinations, flea treatments, vitamins, shampoos, dental products, and prescription pet foods and services, which may or may not be in the best interest of the animal. Consequently, these employment agreements, and the commission-based fee structures therein, create an environment where veterinarians may believe their employment is at risk if they are not selling the corporate animal care products and services to the client.

In addition, veterinarians who own a veterinary practice may enter into contracts for the provision of management services that may be provided by the corporate premises owner, outside management services organizations, or even as corporate partners in the veterinary practice. These arrangements also potentially allow for corporate control over veterinary medical practice. Notably, since the Medical Practice Act specifically states that legal entities (corporations) have no practice rights but the Veterinary Medicine Practice Act does not, and veterinary premises can be owned by unlicensed entities, general corporation premises and/or practice owners could argue that the lack of a similar limitation of corporate practice rights under the Veterinary Medicine Practice Act shows that the Legislature did not intend to place the same limitations on the corporate practice of veterinary medicine as are applied to the corporate practice of medicine.

Given the recent trend of large corporations merging with small veterinary practices, and the corporations' employment of veterinarians and veterinary staff with financial incentives tied to selling the animal health care products of the corporations, it would be helpful to clarify in statute the boundaries between corporation ownership of the premises and/or practice and the corporation's influence over the practice itself.

D. Possible Board Recommendations of Statutory Solutions

Attached hereto for the Board's consideration is statutory language to address the corporate practice of veterinary medicine in several ways, described further below. These proposals are modeled after the Medical Practice Act and related laws, which provide similar limitations on corporate hospital and clinic ownership and employment of physicians and other healing arts practitioners. Since access to veterinary services may not otherwise be available without the corporate ownership and operation of the veterinary practice, these proposals are intended to provide a conservative approach to updating

the veterinary medicine practice laws without banning general corporation ownership altogether. The bracketed information below refers to the location of the provision in the attached proposals.

1. Limit practice authority of premises permit holders. This proposal would add to the premises permit statute a new provision that the issuance of a premises permit does not authorize the holder of the permit to furnish animal patient advice, services, or treatment and would track a similar provision in the Health and Safety Code prohibiting the practice of medicine by a clinic. [Pg. 1, Bus. & Prof. Code, § 4853, new subd. (d).]
2. Corporation rights, privileges, and power. This proposal would add two new statutes to provide that corporations and other artificial legal entities, other than professional veterinary corporations, have no professional rights, privileges, or powers and are prohibited from engaging in the practice of veterinary medicine; this would track the corporate limitations provided under the Medical Practice Act. [Pgs. 1-2, Bus. & Prof. Code, new §§ 4910.1, 4910.2.]
3. Employment of licensed professionals. This proposal would add a new statute providing for employment by a veterinary clinic or hospital owned by a general corporation of persons licensed under the Veterinary Medicine Practice Act, but prohibit employment agreements providing for clinic or hospital control of professional judgment or services. This provision would also authorize the Board to obtain information from the clinic or hospital (such as employment agreements) to enforce the provision. This proposal tracks the clinic/hospital prohibition on control of professional judgment in the Medical Practice Act, as well as the authority to obtain necessary documents provided in the Pharmacy Act. [Pg. 2, Bus. & Prof. Code, new § 4918.]
4. Management Services Organizations (MSOs). Aside from selling the veterinary practice and becoming employed by a general corporation that owns and operates the veterinary practice, veterinarians may instead enter into agreements for the provision of administrative and/or management services by a management services organization (MSO), which can be beneficial to the veterinary practice by applying management expertise to reduce the operating costs of the practice. These types of arrangements may include agreements in which the management services organizations lease to the veterinarians the facility and medical and non-medical equipment.

As with the general corporate ownership of a veterinary practice problem, there are currently no prohibitions on the exertion of control by an MSO over the professional judgment of the veterinarian. Notably, a general corporate premises owner could also enter into a management services arrangement with the veterinary practice owner. Although these types of arrangements may be necessary for a veterinarian who wants to focus on the provision of animal health care services rather than the day-to-day administrative affairs of running a business, it may be prudent to authorize these types of arrangements by statute, and, in addition to cross-referencing the existing prohibition on patient referral rebates (see Bus. & Prof. Code, § 650), clarify the limitations of these agreements by regulation. This proposal would allow veterinarians to contract for administrative/management services while protecting consumers and animal patients from unlicensed control over the care rendered by the veterinarian. [Pg. 2, Bus. & Prof. Code, new § 4919.]

E. Regulatory Proposals

In addition to the statutory proposals above, the Board may wish to consider adopting regulations to clarify the new authorization in proposed Business and Professions Code, section 4918, subdivision (c) to require the clinic, hospital, or veterinarian to disclose to the Board any information deemed reasonably necessary to enforce the prohibition on contracts providing for control over professional judgment or services. [Pg. 6, CCR, new § 2095.] Additionally, if the Board agrees that management services should be addressed by statute, the Board may wish to consider defining the limitations of MSOs by regulation. [Pgs., 3-5, CCR, new §§ 2090-2093.]

Conclusion

Although the ban on corporate practice of medicine has evolved over time and strengthened human patient protection, the protections for animal patients and their owners has not kept pace. Potential risks exist to consumers and animal patients if commercial motives are prioritized above professional judgment. Due to the increasing corporate ownership and operation of veterinary practices and the need for veterinarians to properly apply their professional judgment on a case-by-case basis, the Board may wish to recommend legislative proposals and adopt regulations to address these issues.

Attachments: Legislative and regulatory proposals

VETERINARY MEDICAL BOARD
Corporate Practice of Veterinary Medicine

Proposed revisions are shown in single underline for new text and ~~single strikethrough~~ for deleted text.

Statutory Proposals:

Business and Professions Code, Division 2, Chapter 11

Article 3. Issuance of Licenses.

4853.

(a) All premises where veterinary medicine, veterinary dentistry, veterinary surgery, and the various branches thereof is being practiced shall be registered with the board. The certificate of registration shall be on a form prescribed in accordance with Section 164.

(b) "Premises" for the purpose of this chapter shall include a building, kennel, mobile unit, or vehicle. Mobile units and vehicles shall be exempted from independent registration with the board when they are operated from a building or facility which is the licensee manager's principal place of business and the building is registered with the board, and the registration identifies and declares the use of the mobile unit or vehicle.

(c) Every application for registration of veterinary premises shall set forth in the application the name of the responsible licensee manager who is to act for and on behalf of the licensed premises. Substitution of the responsible licensee manager may be accomplished by application to the board if the following conditions are met:

(1) The person substituted qualifies by presenting satisfactory evidence that he or she possesses a valid, unexpired, and unrevoked license as provided by this chapter and that the license is not currently under suspension.

(2) No circumvention of the law is contemplated by the substitution.

(d) This section does not authorize any person, corporation, or artificial legal entity, other than a licensed practitioner of veterinary medicine or a veterinary corporation practicing pursuant to Article 6 (commencing with Section 4910) of this Chapter and the Moscone-Knox Professional Corporation Act (Part 4 (commencing with Section 13400) of Division 3 of Title 1 of the Corporations Code), to furnish to any person or animal patient any advice, services, or treatment within the scope of veterinarian licensure under this chapter. This section does not authorize any person, other than a licensed veterinarian within the scope of his or her license, to engage directly or indirectly in the practice of veterinary medicine, surgery, and dentistry. This section does not regulate, govern, or affect in any manner the practice of veterinary medicine, surgery, or dentistry by any person duly licensed to engage in such practice.

Article 6. Veterinary Corporations

4910.1. (a) Corporations and other artificial legal entities shall have no professional rights, privileges, or powers.

(b) The provisions of subdivision (a) do not apply to a veterinary corporation practicing pursuant to the Moscone-Knox Professional Corporation Act (Part 4 (commencing with Section 13400) of Division 3 of Title 1 of the Corporations Code) and this article, when such corporation is in compliance with the requirements of these statutes and all other statutes and regulations now or hereafter enacted or adopted pertaining to such corporations and the conduct of their affairs.

4910.2. A professional corporation, foreign professional corporation, or other legal entity not owned exclusively by one or more licensed veterinarians shall not engage in the practice of veterinary medicine.

4918. (a) Except as provided in Section 13403 of the Corporations Code, a veterinary clinic or hospital that is owned by a general corporation, foreign corporation, or other legal entity but is not exclusively owned by one or more licensed persons shall be registered with the board pursuant to Section 4853 and may employ, or enter into contracts or other arrangements with, any person or persons licensed under this chapter, but no such employment, contract, or arrangement shall provide for the rendering, supervision, or control of professional judgment or services other than as authorized by law.

(b) The veterinary clinic or hospital shall not interfere with, control, or otherwise direct the professional judgment of any licensed veterinarian, registered veterinary technician, or veterinary assistant.

(c) The board may require any information the board deems is reasonably necessary for the enforcement of this section.

4919. (a) A veterinarian or group of veterinarians, whether or not incorporated, may employ, or enter into a contract or other arrangements with a management services organization to provide management services to the veterinarian or the veterinary practice, but no such employment, contract, or arrangement shall provide for the management services organization to render control, supervision, or intervention in a veterinarian's practice of veterinary medicine, or violate Section 650.

(b) For purposes of this section, "management services organization" means a person or entity that provides management or administrative services.

(c) The board may require any information the board deems is reasonably necessary for the enforcement of this section.

Proposed Regulations:

California Code of Regulations, Title 16, Division 20

Article 12. Management Services Organizations in Veterinary Practice

2090. Definitions. The following words and terms, when used in this section, shall have the following meanings, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise:

(a) "Control" means the ability to order or dictate the delivery or the manner of delivery of any services or tasks. Consulting with another person regarding a service or task, or assisting in the performance of a service or task, does not constitute control.

(b) "Intervene" means directly altering the practice of veterinary medicine. Recommending or providing a service or supply or performing management services under this section does not constitute intervention.

(c) "Management services" means those services and activities relating to the operation of a veterinary practice exclusive of the practice of veterinary medicine.

(d) "Management services organization" means a person or entity that provides management services.

(e) "Veterinary medical personnel" means persons under the direct or indirect supervision of a veterinarian who perform duties directly related to the practice of veterinary medicine.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 4808, Business and Professions Code. Reference: Section 4919, Business and Professions Code;

2091. Prohibited Practices.

(a) A management services organization shall not control or intervene in a veterinarian's practice of veterinary medicine. Prohibited activities by a management services organization, whether or not authorized by contract, include but are not limited to:

(1) employing a veterinarian to practice veterinary medicine;

(2) determining the compensation of a veterinarian for the practice of veterinary medicine;

(3) controlling or intervening in a veterinarian's diagnosis, treatment, correction, change, manipulation, relief, or prevention of animal disease, deformity, defect, injury or other physical condition, including the prescription or administration of a drug, biologic, anesthetic, apparatus, or other therapeutic or diagnostic substance or technique;

(4) controlling or intervening in a veterinarian's selection or use of type or quality of medical supplies and pharmaceuticals to be used in the practice of veterinary medicine;

(5) determining the amount of time a veterinarian may spend with a patient;

(6) owning drugs, unless the drugs are owned in compliance with applicable state or federal law;

(7) owning and controlling the records of patients of the veterinarian;

(8) determining the fees to be charged by the veterinarian for the veterinarian's practice of veterinary medicine;

(9) mandating compliance with specific professional standards, protocols, or practice guidelines relating to the practice of veterinary medicine;

(10) placing limitations or conditions upon communications that are clinical in nature with the veterinarian's clients;

(11) requiring a veterinarian to make referrals in violation of section 650 of the code; or

(12) penalizing a veterinarian for reporting violations of a law regulating the practice of veterinary medicine.

(b) Veterinarians, and entities in which veterinarians are the sole owner, shareholders, or partners, are not prohibited from performing the activities set out in subsections (a)(1) - (10) of this section.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 4808, Business and Professions Code. Reference: Section 4919, Business and Professions Code.

2092. Permitted Management Services. Permitted activities by a management services organization include, but are not limited, to:

(a) providing by lease, ownership, or other arrangement:

(1) the facility used by the veterinarian in the practice of veterinary medicine;

(2) the medical equipment, instruments, and supplies used by the veterinarian in the practice of veterinary medicine; and

(3) the business, office, and similar non-medical equipment used by the veterinarian.

(b) providing for the repair, maintenance, renovation, replacement or otherwise of any facility or equipment used by the veterinarian in the practice of veterinary medicine;

(c) providing accounting, financial, payroll, bookkeeping, budget, investment, tax compliance, and similar financial services to the veterinarian;

(d) providing information and information systems and services for the veterinarian so long as any patient records in these systems are clearly owned and freely accessed by the veterinarian;

(e) providing the services of billing and collection of the veterinarian's fees and charges;

(f) arranging for the collection or sale of the veterinarian's accounts receivable;

(g) providing advertising, marketing and public relations services that comply with Section 651 of the code pertaining to the practice of veterinary medicine;

(h) providing contract negotiation, drafting, and similar services for the veterinarian;

(i) providing receptionist, scheduling, messaging, and similar coordination services for the veterinarian;

(j) obtaining all licenses and permits necessary to operate a practice of veterinary medicine that may be obtained by a non-veterinarian, and assisting veterinarians in obtaining licenses and permits necessary to operate a practice of veterinary medicine that may be obtained only by a veterinarian, provided that the executive officer of the board approves the method of payment for veterinary license renewals paid by the management services organization;

(k) assisting in the recruiting, continuing education, training, and legal and logistical peer review services for the veterinarian;

(l) providing insurance, purchasing and claims services for the veterinarian, and including the veterinarian and veterinary medical personnel on the same insurance policies and benefit plans as the management services organization;

(m) providing consulting, business and financial planning, and business practice and other advice;

(n) establishing the price to be charged to the veterinary client for the goods and supplies provided or managed by the management services organizations;

(o) employing and controlling persons who:

(1) perform management services;

(2) are veterinarians employed by a management services organization to perform management services but not the practice of veterinary medicine; or

(3) perform management, administrative, clerical, receptionist, secretarial, bookkeeping, accounting, payroll, billing, collection, boarding, cleaning and other functions; or

(p) employing veterinary medical and other personnel, if a veterinarian present at the practice location in charge of veterinary medicine for that practice location at which the veterinary medical and other personnel work has the right to:

(1) control the medically related procedures, duties, and performance of the veterinary medical and other personnel; and

(2) suspend for medically related reasons the veterinary medical and other personnel unless the suspension is contrary to law, regulation or other legal requirements.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 4808, Business and Professions Code. Reference: Section 4919, Business and Professions Code.

2093. Disclosure of Contracts.

(a) A veterinarian or a group of veterinarians that contract with a management services organization shall:

(1) make available for inspection by the board at the main office of the veterinarian or group of veterinarians copies of the contracts with the management services organizations; and

(2) if the board opens an investigation against a veterinarian or a group of veterinarians, make available to the board copies of the contracts with the management services organizations.

(b) Verbal contracts will not be considered evidence of compliance with this section.

(c) Copies of contracts provided to the board pursuant to this section are confidential and not subject to disclosure pursuant to section 6250 et seq. of the Government Code.

Note: Authority cited: Section 4808, Business and Professions Code. Reference: Section 4919, Business and Professions Code.

Article 13. Requirements for Corporations

2095. Disclosure of Corporate Records

(a) Upon request by the board, a veterinary corporation, foreign veterinary corporation, general corporation, foreign corporation, or other legal entity shall make available for inspection or provide copies of the following:

(1) copies of all documents filed with the Secretary of State.

(2) all corporate records, including, but not limited to, ownership agreements between any director, officer, owner, or shareholder.

(3) any employment contract between the corporation or legal entity and a licensee.

(4) all written policies or procedures.

(b) Copies of corporate records provided to the board pursuant to subsection (a)(2) shall be considered corporate financial records and/or corporate proprietary information including trade secrets and are confidential and not subject to disclosure pursuant to section 6250 et seq. of the Government Code.

Note: Authority cited: Sections 4808 and 4916, Business and Professions Code. Reference: Sections 4910, 4912, 4918, and 4919, Business and Professions Code; Section 13401.5, Corporations Code; and Section 6254.15, Government Code.